Conversations on Current Issues in the Practice of Employment and Industrial Law

HOSTED BY THE HON JUSTICE BROMBERG

Availability of Judicial Review arising from Dispute Settlement Procedures in Industrial Instruments

> JOINT PRESENTATION BY Kylie Nomchong SC, Denman Chambers and Ingmar Taylor SC, Greenway Chambers

> > Federal Court of Australia, Sydney Thursday, 25 October 2018

THE ISSUE

- Modern Awards & EAs must have a dispute settlements procedure: s.146 & 186(6) FW Act. Employment contracts and public service determination may have such procedures
- The Fair Work Commission may exercise a power of arbitration conferred on it by a dispute settlement procedure: s.739 FW Act
- If the FWC exercises that power erroneously, is there a capacity to seek judicial review?

SECTION 739 OF THE FAIR WORK ACT

Disputes dealt with by the FWC

- (1) This section applies if a term referred to in section 738 requires or allows the FWC to deal with a dispute.
- (2) The FWC must not deal with a dispute to the extent that the dispute is about whether an employer had reasonable business grounds under <u>subsection</u> 65(5) or 76(4), unless:
 - (a) the parties have agreed in a contract of employment, enterprise agreement or other written agreement to the FWC dealing with the matter; or
 - (b) a determination under the *Public Service Act 1999* authorises the FWC to deal with the matter.

Note: This does not prevent the FWC from dealing with a dispute relating to a term of an enterprise agreement that has the same (or substantially the same) effect as <u>subsection</u> 65(5) or 76(4) (see also <u>subsection</u> 55(5)).

SECTION 739 OF THE FAIR WORK ACT (CONT.)

- (3) In dealing with a dispute, the FWC **must not exercise any powers limited by the term**.
- (4) If, in accordance with the term, the parties have agreed that **the FWC may arbitrate (however described) the dispute, the FWC may do so.**

Note: The FWC may also deal with a dispute by mediation or conciliation, or by making a recommendation or expressing an opinion (see <u>subsection</u> 595(2)).

- (5) Despite <u>subsection</u> (4), the FWC **must not make a decision that is inconsistent with this Act, or a fair work instrument that applies to the parties**.
- (6) The FWC may deal with a dispute only on application by a party to the dispute.

NOT OPEN TO JUDICIAL REVIEW

- Even if the decision of the FWC is wrong in law or based on erroneous findings of fact, the decision is not open to challenge:
 - CFMEU v AIRC (2001) 203 CLR 645 (the Private Arbitration Case)
 - Linfox Australia Pty Ltd v TWU [2013] FCA 659; (2013) 213 FCR 479
 - AMWU v ALS Industrial Australia Pty Ltd [2015] 235 FCAFC 123; (2015) 235 FCR 305
 - Endeavour Energy v CEPU [2016] FCAFC 82; (2016) 244 FCR 178

CAPACITY TO SEEK ALTERNATIVE RELIEF

- Before any dispute is notified
- After a dispute has been arbitrated:
 - Energy Australia Yallourn Pty Ltd v AMWU [2018] FCAFC 146

WHAT MAY BE CHALLENGED IN THE FEDERAL COURT?

- Where the basic and fundamental requirements for an arbitration are missing (i.e there is no power to arbitrate; there is no dispute notified)
- A failure to comply with the terms or procedure delineated in the dispute settlements clause: s. 739(3)
- A substantial failure of natural justice a failure to conduct a hearing in a manner required by s.577 ("fair and just")
- A decision that is inconsistent with the FW Act or a fair work instrument - s739(5)?

QUESTIONS

- Is the analogy to private arbitration appropriate given it is an 'agreement' that is binding on those who were not parties to it?
 - CFMEU v AIRC (2001) 203 CLR 645 (the Private Arbitration Case)
 - Chase Oyster Bar Pty Ltd v Hamo Industries Pty Ltd (2010) 78 NSWLR 393
- Is it inconsistent with rights that exist in commercial arbitration:
 - ss.34 & 34A of the Commercial Arbitration Act 2010 (NSW)
 - S. 8 of the International Arbitration Act, 1974 (Cth)



- A Declaration from the Court as an alternative to activating a dispute?
- Don't include arbitration provisions for FWC in Awards or EAs?
- Alternatively, include them but limit their scope: eg restrict the subject matters over which the FWC may arbitrate?